This article was downloaded by: On: *15 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Chemistry and Ecology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455114

Heavy Metals in Macroalgae of Havana's Northern Littoral, Cuba Marta Ramírez<sup>a</sup>; Humberto González<sup>a</sup>; Nora Ablanedo<sup>a</sup>; Ibis Torres<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones del Transporte, Ciudad de La Habana, Cuba

To cite this Article Ramírez, Marta , González, Humberto , Ablanedo, Nora and Torres, Ibis(1990) 'Heavy Metals in Macroalgae of Havana's Northern Littoral, Cuba', Chemistry and Ecology, 4: 2, 49 – 55 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/02757549008035964 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02757549008035964

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Chemistry and Ecology, 1990, Vol. 4, pp. 49–55 Reprints available directly from the publisher. Photocopying permitted by license only

# HEAVY METALS IN MACROALGAE OF HAVANA'S NORTHERN LITTORAL, CUBA

# MARTA RAMÍREZ, HUMBERTO GONZÁLEZ, NORA ABLANEDO and IBIS TORRES

Instituto de Investigaciones del Transporte. Apartado 17029, zona 17, Ciudad de La Habana, Cuba

#### (Received April 10, 1989)

Copper, iron, lead, manganese, and zinc contents were determined in various phyla of macroalgae typical of the rocky mesolittoral zone of Cuba and widely distributed in the Caribbean Region. The samples were collected in different zones along the shores of Havana City which are more or less influenced by anthropogenic activities. Differences in metal contents were observed due to seasonal variations and differences between sampling sites. Of the analyzed species, only one brown alga, *Padina vickersiae*, is considered as a suitable candidate for the monitoring of metal contamination.

# INTRODUCTION

Certain studies (e.g. Amiel and Navrot, 1978, Hershelman *et al.*, 1981; Warren, 1981; Bargagli *et al.*, 1985) have shown that municipal and/or industrial waste waters which are discharged into coastal zones by different routes (shorebased and submarine outfalls or rivers), constitute the main sources of contamination by heavy metals. The environmental effects may vary depending on the characteristics of the discharge zones.

Algae are among the most investigated organisms for detecting metals in the marine environment (Eisler, 1981). Some species of macroalgae have been proposed as bio-indicators in coastal zones (Seeliger and Edwards, 1977; Guimarães *et al.*, 1982; Cullinane *et al.*, 1987). In the present study, the levels of copper, iron, manganese, lead and zinc were determined in six species of macroalgae, typical of the rocky mesolittoral zone of Cuba and widely distributed in the Caribbean area (Taylor, 1960), with the purpose of investigating whether the analyzed species can be used as indicators of this kind of contamination.

The study area was the littoral coastal zone of Havana City. It comprises a location that by direct contact with the open sea, the existence of only small rivers and of waste water via shore-based outfalls of limited discharge capacity (Tritón, Habana del Este and Alamar) could favour the dilution of metals discharged into the sea. Previously, the high level of contamination of Havana Bay and its influence on the western coastal zone has been demonstrated (González *et al.*, 1985), as well as contamination near the main sewage outfall of the city, located at Playa del Chivo.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Figure 1 shows the sampling sites which include a reference station located at the eastern part of the study area. Table 1 summarizes the species collected during each sampling campaign. The whole plants were collected by hand from the rocky substrate and carried in plastic bags to the laboratory. They were rinsed with twice-distilled water to remove the attached particulate material. The roots were separated and the remaining samples were dried at 105°C, homogenized in an agate mortar, and about 1 g digested in HNO<sub>3</sub>/HCLO<sub>4</sub> (2 : 1). The residues were dissolved in 1% HNO<sub>3</sub> and analyzed by flame AAS with deuterium background correction. The accuracy was verified using mollusc tissue reference material (MA-M-2/TM) of the International Laboratory of Marine Radioactivity, Monaco; the precision, expressed as the coefficient of variation, was better than 10%. For each metal, the concentrations obtained in the different sampling stations were compared with analysis of variance (ANOVA,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).



Figure 1 Sampling stations and mean contents ( $\mu g.g^{-1}$  dry weight) obtained for Padina vickersiae.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results from the sampling campaigns show that there is no uniformity in the distribution of the studied species. This will limit the comparison of the metal levels found for the different sites for some macroalgae. Table 2a shows the results of the Phaeophyceae studied. In a general sense, *Padina vickersiae* (Figure 1) shows the highest mean metal contents at the bay's mouth and at the Náutico. At the reference site, this species was lowest for iron, zinc and lead and only slightly higher for copper and manganese than samples from Santa Fé. The

#### Table 1 Macroalgae collected (\*) in the studied sites.

									1	986	5							
	M	lay					Ju	ly					D	ecer	nbe	r		
Phaeophyceae		2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
Turbinaria tricostata Padina vickersiae	* *			*	*	*	*			*		*	*				*	*
Rhodophyceae																		
Laurencia papillosa Wurdemannia miniata	*	*		*			*			*			*			*		*
Chlorophyceae																		
Ulva lactuca Cladophoropsis membranacea	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*

									1	987	,							
	F	ebri	ıary	,			A	oril					Ju	ne				
Phaeophyceae	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
Turbinaria tricostata Padina vickersiae	*				*	*	* *			*	*	*	* *			*	*	*
Rhodophyceae																		
Laurencia papillosa Wurdemannia miniata	*			*			*			*			*					
Chlorophyceae																		
Ulva lactuca Cladophoropsis membranacea	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Sampling sites

1: Santa Cruz (reference site)

2: Rincón de Guanabo

3: Alamar

4: Bay's mouth

5: Náutico 6: Santa Fé

0. Sama PC

analysis of monthly values for each element shows that the minima were found at the reference station and the maxima at the bay's mouth and at Náutico. Results of ANOVA show significant differences between the reference samples and those from the bay's mouth and Náutico (except for copper) but not between the two latter stations, demonstrating the feasibility of this species to reflect contamination.

For *Turbinaria tricostata*, it was difficult to interpret the results because there were no data from contaminated zones such as the bay's mouth. The mean values and the majority of monthly values for all elements are smaller at the reference site compared with other study sites though only for zinc was this difference statistically significant.

Species/Site	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn	Pb
P. vickersiae					
Santa Cruz (1)	$326.2 \pm 150.3$ (80.0 - 507.4)	$15.5 \pm 7.0$ (2.3 - 21.6)	$10.0 \pm 4.6$ (2.8 - 14.9)	$46.9 \pm 17.5$ (27.9 - 68.7)	$4.4 \pm 3.7$ (2.1 - 11.9)
Bay's mouth (4)	$1156.3 \pm 408.4$ (686.2 - 1411.9)	$22.3 \pm 3.5$ (18.3 - 24.3)	$92.0 \pm 42.1$ (44.0 - 109.2)	$85.9 \pm 48.4$ (32.2 - 126.3)	$21.5 \pm 17.8$ (8.9 - 41.8)
Náutico (5)	$1159.3 \pm 438.0$ (598.2 - 1819.4)	$21.4 \pm 7.1$ (13.1 - 31.2)	$52.2 \pm 11.3$ (41.0 - 64.1)	$143.5 \pm 33.6$ (96.5 - 184.6)	$12.3 \pm 5.2$ (8.4 - 21.3)
Santa Fé (6)	$382.4 \pm 555.3$ (249.3 - 1122.4)	$12.3 \pm 1.0$ (3.2 - 23.0)	$30.9 \pm 27.8$ (9.2 - 62.2)	$38.4 \pm 13.3$ (27.8 - 53.3)	$12.0 \pm 7.8$ (5.9 - 20.8)
T. tricostata	````	· /	` '		
Santa Cruz (1)	$18.9 \pm 10.9$ (3.9 – 34.5)	$5.6 \pm 3.1$ (1.3 - 10.5)	$8.4 \pm 1.5$ (5.4 - 9.3)	$2.4 \pm 1.5$ (0.94 - 4.7)	<1.5
Santa Fé (6)	$26.0 \pm 21.3$ (1.2 - 56.7)	$5.0 \pm 3.0$ (<1.3 - 7.7)	11.7 ± 2.2 (9.3 – 13.4)	$2.8 \pm 1.6$ (1.2 - 5.2)	<1.5

**Table 2a** Metal contents in Phaeophyceae ( $\mu g.g^{-1}$  dry weight); means, SE's and range.

**Table 2b** Metal contents in Rhodophyceae ( $\mu g.g^{-1}$  dry weight)

Species/Site	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn	Pb
L. papillosa					
Santa Cruz (1)	$107.2 \pm 78.4$	$8.1 \pm 6.8$	$14.1 \pm 6.9$	10.5 ± 3.7	$4.8 \pm 3.3$
	(50.4 - 206.2)	(<1.3 - 18.6)	(6.0 - 22.5)	(6.8 – 14.9)	(1.5 - 9.8)
Rincón de Guanabo (2)	215.3	10.5	29.0	25.5	<1.5
Santa Fé (6)	408.6	28.1	55.4	46.2	8.0
<b>W. miniata</b>	1124.4 ± 96.1	16.3 ± 5.3	56.9 ± 0.69	20.4 ± 8.6	7.6 ± 0.89
Bay's smouth (4)	(1040.2 - 1261.3)	(9.1 - 20.4)	(56.3 - 57.8)	(15.4 - 30.3)	(6.5 - 8.6)

**Table 2c** Metal contents in Chlorophyceae ( $\mu g.g.^{-1}$  dry weight)

Species/Site	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn	Pb
C. membranacea					
Santa Cruz (1)	$407.2 \pm 322.0$	$14.7 \pm 14.9$	$28.1 \pm 22.8$	$28.2 \pm 32.4$	$3.5 \pm 1.6$
	(130.1 - 922.2)	(3.4 - 40.8)	(4.0 - 62.4)	(7.5 - 93.4)	(<3.0 - 6.7)
Rincón de Guanabo (2)	$1558.2 \pm 1365.1$	$20.4 \pm 9.3$	$\dot{4}9.0 \pm 23.5$	$30.8 \pm 22.8$	$9.9 \pm 5.6$
	(236.1 - 3950.2)	(11.3 - 29.6)	(31.8 - 92.1)	(14.1 - 73.9)	(<3.0 - 19.8)
Alamar (3)	$\dot{470.1 \pm 292.2}$ (263.1 - 676.4)	$19.3 \pm 18.8$ (6.1 - 32.6)	$27.3 \pm 3.4$ (24.9 - 29.7)	$11.9 \pm 3.9$ (9.2 - 14.7)	<3.0
Náutico (5)	735.0	5.6	15.4	45.9	18.7
Santa Fé (6)	$234.2 \pm 220.0$	$16.7 \pm 10.9$	$40.7 \pm 28.1$	$12.5 \pm 10.1$	$7.6 \pm 5.7$
	(50.5 - 597.2)	(6.3 - 29.3)	(7 3 - 74 1)	(1.8 - 31.0)	(<3.0 - 15.9)
U. lactuca	()	(111 - 11)	(	(110 0110)	(
Alamar (3)	129.0 ± 72.8	$6.2 \pm 3.3$	$15.9 \pm 2.8$	$6.7 \pm 2.6$	$2.7 \pm 1.0$
	(29.6 - 195.2)	(4.4 - 11.2)	(14.1 - 20.0)	(4.6 - 9.6)	(1.6 - 3.7)
Bay's mouth (4)	$176.0 \pm 47.7$	$11.1 \pm 6.1$	$19.9 \pm 2.4$	$\dot{9.0} \pm 2.8$	$3.5 \pm 2.3$
	(119.1 - 260.1)	(5.2 - 13.7)	(16.8 - 22.1)	(5.9 - 13.2)	(2.0 - 8.2)
Náutico (5)	$125.2 \pm 70.3$	8.4±2.7	$10.2 \pm 7.4$	$8.2 \pm 3.7$	$2.6 \pm 0.61$
	(58.1 - 221.3)	(5.4-11.6)	(3.9 - 20.8)	(3.0 - 11.5)	$2.0 \pm 3.3$

Comparing the level of the metal contents in these brown algae, the extreme values coincide. Iron predominated at all sites in agreement with other reports for algae and macrophytes (Eisler, 1981). The lowest values were found for lead which is often considered to be among those elements which apparently have no biological function (Viarengo, 1985). In Turbinaria tricostata, zinc took the second place in content. Usually, in *Padina vickersiae*, manganese was found at higher concentration. However, at the bay's mouth, the zinc content was nearly ten times higher than at the reference site and therefore displaced manganese from the second place. Also the sediments of this sampling area were found enriched in this element (González et al., 1988). This could support our hypothesis that the high zinc content reflects the capacity of this species to concentrate zinc, considered as a fundamental attribute for any organism used in chemical monitoring (Widdows, 1985). In the same way, the presence of this species at the reference station as well as at the bay's mouth, reflects its resistance to high concentration of contaminants, a further condition necessary for a biological indicator (Kristoforova and Maslova, 1983). Differences in the contents of metals in Turbinaria tricostata were less evident than in Padina vickersiae. Turbinaria tricostata, by its low frequency of occurrence in contaminated zones, and low bioconcentration factors for metals, was not very well suited for such studies.

The occurrence of Rhodophyceae (Table 2b) is also restricted. Wurdemannia miniata was found only at the bay's mouth and showed relatively low variability in its metal content over many months. On the other hand, Laurenica papillosa showed a remarkable variability even in the reference zone, where the average values were lower than those at Santa Fé and Rincón de Guanabo. Both red algae, in the order of metal contents, show the same pattern:  $Fe > Zn \ge Mn > Cu > Pb$ . This elemental pattern seemed not to be influenced by the degree of heavy metal contamination (González et al., 1988).

Chlorophyceae (Table 2c): Ulva lactuca was found at the central stations of the study area. This species has been reported as characteristic of zones with a high content of organic matter (Valle *et al.*, 1985). At the bay's mouth the mean metal contents were all higher than at other sampling sites. However, this finding was again only statistically significant for zinc. Because of the convincing results we obtained with *Padina vickersiae*, we omitted Ulva lactuca as a possible means of chemical monitoring although this species has been recommended as a potential indicator of contamination by heavy metals in inshore areas (Boyden, 1975; Talbot and Chegwidden, 1982).

Cladophoropsis membranacea presented not only a high variability between months for each element but also between stations, and did not reflect a definite pattern for sampling locations. Denton and Burdon-Jones (1986) reported the same behaviour for the green algae studied by them, which showed interspecific and intraspecific variations (based on differences between sites). They suggested in the event of the use of these species as monitors, that consideration be given to the factors which influence these levels, such as growth on the shore, age, etc.

The two Chlorophyceae species coincided in the order of concentration of the elements:  $Fe > Zn > Mn \approx Cu > Pb$ . Reports on these species are scarce (Table 3). Eisler (1981) in his extensive review, gave only a brief description of other species. For *Ulva lactuca*, Pulich (1980) finds higher values of iron, manganese and zinc, and comparable levels of copper; Wahbeh *et al.* (1985), studying a

Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu	Pb	Locations	References
102.2	20.0	57.0	12.5		Port Aransas, Texas	Pulich, W. M., 1980
1800.0	28.0	55.0	8.5		Corpus Christi Bay, Texas	Pulich, W. M., 1980
833.0 ± 105.0	$24.5\pm2.4$	51.0 ± 4.1	42.1 ± 1.8		Coast of Aqaba, Jordan	Wahbeh, M. I. et al., 1985
415.0	10.6	44.4	6.5	1.7	Cockburn Sound, West. Australia	Talbot, V. & Chegwidden, A., 1982
		19.2 - 162.1	4.8 - 38.7	0.90-3.3	Slightly affected area	Hagerhall, B., 1973
3380.0 - 8890.0	210.0 - 1630.0	55.0 - 136.0	12.0 - 34.0	16.0 - 66.0	Poole Harbour, England	Boyden, C. R., 1975

**Table 3** Selected values for trace metal levels in *Ulva lactuca* ( $\mu g.g^{-1}$  dry weight)

zone influenced by different anthropogenic activities, determined higher values of zinc, manganese, copper and iron in *Laurenica obtusa* and *Turbinaria elatensis* than we did. They found in *Padina pavonica*, a pattern (Fe > Zn > Cu > Mn) similar to *Padina vickersiae* at the bay's mouth. For the other species the order of metal contents coincided with the report of this work.

Saenko et al. (1976) found lower values of zinc and higher levels of manganese, iron and copper in *Ulva fenestrata* and *Ulvaria splendens* then those reported for *Ulva lactuca* presented in this paper.

In the Australian Great Barrier Reef (Denton and Burdon-Jones, 1986), at zones considered not to be contaminated, for species of the genera *Padina*, *Turbinaria* and *Laurencia*, metal values were reported similar to those found in our reference zone.

### CONCLUSIONS

The metal contents found may serve as a basis for future investigations of these species. Only two species showed extended distribution in the study area; this enabled us to compare the levels between sites with different degrees of contamination. However, one of them, *Cladophoropsis membranacea*, was not favoured due to the high variability between months and also between sites; this fact did not allow us to obtain a definite pattern. The other species, *Padina vickersiae*, showed the potential to bioconcentrate the heavy metals studied, and, as a consequence, was proposed as a suitable candidate for chemical monitoring.

# References

Amiel, A. J. and Navrot, J. (1978). Nearshore sediment pollution in Israel by trace metals derived from sewage effluent. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 9, 10-14.

Bargagli, R.; Baldi, F. and Leonzio, C. (1985). Trace metal assessment in sediment, molluscs and reed leaves in the bay of Follonica (Italy). *Marine Enrivonmental Research*, **16**, 281-300.

Boyden, C. (1975). Distribution of some trace metals in Poole Harbour, Dorset. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 6, 180-187.

- Cullinane, J. P., Doyle, T. M. and Whelan, P. M. (1987). Uses of seaweeds as biomonitors of zinc levels in Cork Harbour, Ireland. *Hydrobiologia* 151/152, 285-290.
- Denton, G. R. W. and Burdon-Jones, C. (1986). Trace metals in algae from the Great Barrier Reef. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 17, 98-107.
- Eisler, R. (1981). Trace Metal Concentrations in Marine Organisms, Pergamon Press, New York.
- González, H., Ramírez, M. and Portuondo, Y. (1985). Heavy metals in mollusca and sediments in the littoral of Havana Bay, Cuba. International Conference *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Athens (T. D. Lekkas, ed.) **1**, 658-660.
- González, H., Brugmann, L. and Torres, I. (1988). Heavy metals in sediments of Havana City littoral. Chemistry and Ecology, 4, (in press).
- Guimarães, J. R. D., de Lacerda, L. D. and Teixeira, V. L. (1982). Concentração de metais pesados en algas bentônicas da Baia da Ribeira, Angra dos Reis, com sugestao de espécies monitoras. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, **42**, 553-557.
- Hagerhall, B. (1973). Marine botanical-hydrographical trace element studies in the Oresund Area. Botanica Marina, 16, 53-64.
- Hershelman, G. P., Schafer, H. A., Jan, T. K. and Young, D. R. (1981). Metals in marine sediments near a large California municipal outfall. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **12**, 131-134.
- Kristoforova, N. K. and Maslova, M. L. (1983). Estimation of heavy metal contamination of the Atlantic and western Pacific coastal waters by mineral composition of fucoid algae. *Marine Biology* (*in Russian*), 1, 3–11.
- Pulich, W. M. (1980). Heavy metal accumulation by selected *Halodule wrightii* asch. Populations in the Corpus Christi bay area. *Contributions in Marine Science*, **23**, 93-100.
- Saenko, G. N., Koryakova, M. D., Makienko, V. F. and Dobrosmyslova, I. G. (1976). Concentration of polyvalent metals by seaweeds in Vostok Bay, Sea of Japan. *Marine Biology*, 34, 169–176.
- Seeliger, U. and Edwards, P. (1977). Correlation coefficients and concentration factors of copper and lead in sea water and benthic algae. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **8**, 16–19.
- Talbot, V. and Chegwidden, A. (1982). Cadmium and other heavy metal concentrations in selected biota from Cockburn Sound, Western Australia. Australian Journal of Marine Freshwater Research, 33, 779-788.
- Taylor, W. R. (1960). Marine Algae of the Eastern Tropical and Subtropical Coasts of the Americas. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press.
- Valle, R., Campos, I. and Areces, A. (1985). Investigación y Control de la Contaminación Marina en la bahía de La Habana. Proyecto CUB 80/001-PNUD-PNUMA-UNESCO, II, cap. 25, pp. 624-640.
- Viarengo, A. (1985). Biochemical effects of trace metals. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 16, 153-158.
- Wahbeh, M. I., Dureid, M. and Mohamed, I. (1985). Concentrations of zinc, manganese, copper, cadmiun, magnesium and iron in ten species of algae and sea water from Aqaba, Jordan. Marine Environmental Research, 16, 95-102.

Warren, L. J. (1981). Contamination of sediments by lead, zinc and cadmium: a review. Environmental Pollution (Ser. B), 2, 401-436.

Widdows, J. (1985). Physiological responses to pollution. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 16, 129-134.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Prof. Dr Sc. Lutz Brugmann, Institute of Oceanology of Academic of Sciences of G.D.R., for his review of the manuscript and helpful discussion.